SPIRIT WIC SYSTEM RELEASE NOTES

Build Version 2.03

Contents

[ENH-100]

Common Interface Panels – Chapter N

The following functionality was added to the Group and Individual Nutrition Education Contact sections of this DFDD. The text highlighted in Red is what was changed for this Enhancement.

Add / Edit Individual Nutrition Education Contact

Topic(s) Discussed List Box

The control allows the user to select the topics covered at the individual nutrition education contact. It is enabled when the dialog is active. The list box allows the selection of multiple items.

The Topic(s) Discussed list includes individual education topics from the EDUCATIONTOPICS table that are:

- Active

- Of the selected Individual Contact Type

- Assigned to the participant's WIC Status or unassigned to any WIC Status.

The topics in the list are sorted alphabetically in ascending order. Topics that are selected by default cannot be deselected. Topics that are selected manually can be selected or deselected as necessary.

Add / Edit Group Education Contact

Topic(s) Discussed List Box

The control allows the user to select the topics covered at the group nutrition education contact. It is enabled when the dialog is active. The list box allows the selection of multiple items.

The Topic(s) Discussed list includes group education topics from the EDUCATIONTOPICS table that are:

- Active

- Of the, "Secondary Contact," Group Contact Type

- Assigned to the participant's WIC Status or unassigned to any WIC Status.

The topics in the list are sorted alphabetically in ascending order. Topics that are selected by default cannot be deselected. Topics that are selected manually can be selected or deselected as necessary.

[MN-33]

[MN-33] MN Report - Bank File Posting Validation **Created: 29/Sep/09 Updated: 16/Apr/10**	
Status:	Development
Project:	MINNESOTA - HUBERT
Component/s:	Minnesota

Type:	Change Request - External	**Priority:**	Critical
Reporter:	Angie Stevens	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Pending	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Report Generator		
Primary Function:	Reports		
Considerations / Constraints:	Must be included in MN UAT release 2.01		

Description

Create HuBERT report to meet attached report criteria from CHIP for Bank File Posting Validation.

Comments

Comment by Eric Wurth [16/Apr/10 01:43 PM]

Passed........
Env: (WMOC02Q)
Rel: 2.3.10097.1220

Bank Reconciliation report for daily, monthly summary, and monthly detail have passed all possible scenarios for each area of the report. Paid file w/ new trailer record was tested as well and was able to pull file down from ftp server and have the EOD process the file correctly.

Assigning issue to Release 2.03

[MN-34]

[MN-34] MN Report - Close-out Error Listing of Cash Transactions Created: 29/Sep/09 Updated: 07/Apr/10	
Status:	Development
Project:	MINNESOTA - HUBERT
Component/s:	Minnesota

Type:	Change Request - External	**Priority:**	Critical
Reporter:	Angie Stevens	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Pending	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Report Generator		
Primary Function:	Reports		
Considerations / Constraints:	Must be included in MN UAT release 2.01		

Description

Create HuBERT report to meet attached current report criteria from CHIP for Close-out Error Listing of Cash Transactions.

Comments

Comment by Eric Wurth [07/Apr/10 02:16 PM]

Passed........
Env: (WMOC02Q)
Rel: 2.3.10097.1220

Check Redemption by Class report has passed all possible scenarios for each area of the report
See attachment file for final example: ChecksWithNoIssuanceInfo_FINAL.pdf

Assigning issue to Release 2.03

[MN-35]

[MN-35] MN Report - Flagged Vouchers **Created: 29/Sep/09 Updated: 08/Apr/10**	
Status:	Development
Project:	MINNESOTA - HUBERT
Component/s:	Minnesota

Type:	Change Request - External	**Priority:**	Critical
Reporter:	Angie Stevens	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Pending	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Report Generator		
Primary Function:	Reports		

Description

Create HuBERT report to meet attached current report criteria from CHIP for Flagged Vouchers.

Comments

Comment by Eric Wurth [08/Apr/10 10:37 AM]

Passed......
Version: 2.3.10097.2154
Database: WMOC02Q

Flagged Checks excel spreadsheet and Overpriced Checks PDF report have passed all possible scenarios for each area of the reports

See attachment file for final examples: overpriced checks_FINAL.pdf; FlaggedChecks_FINAL.xls

Assigning the issue to Release 2.03

[MN-36]

[MN-36] MN Report - Initial & Closeout Participation by CHB & Agency **Created: 29/Sep/09 Updated: 09/Apr/10**	
Status:	Development
Project:	MINNESOTA - HUBERT
Component/s:	Minnesota

Type:	Change Request - External	**Priority:**	Critical
Reporter:	Angie Stevens	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Pending	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Report Generator		
Primary Function:	Reports		

Description

Create HuBERT report to meet attached current criteria from CHIP for Initial & Closeout Participation by CHB & Agency

Comments

Comment by Malliga Marutha [09/Apr/10 04:54 PM]

Tested in Build Version - 2.3.10099.1434
DB - WMOC02Q

Tested the report and is working as expected. Assigning to Release 2.03.

Please see the attachment MN_36_PASS for more details.

[MN-37]

[MN-37] MN Report - Summary Statistics - BF Monthly Final Created: 29/Sep/09 Updated: 09/Apr/10	
Status:	Development
Project:	MINNESOTA - HUBERT
Component/s:	Minnesota

Type:	Change Request - External	**Priority:**	Critical
Reporter:	Angie Stevens	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Pending	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Report Generator		
Primary Function:	Reports		

Description

Create HuBERT report to meet attached current report criteria from CHIP for Summary Statistics - BF Monthly Final. See hardcopy mark up from MN. Significant changes.

Comments

Comment by Eric Wurth [09/Apr/10 08:28 AM]

Passed........
Env: (WMOC02Q)
Rel: 2.3.10096.1218

Summary Statistics Breastfeeding report has passed all possible scenarios for each area of the report
See attachment file for final example: SummaryStatisticsReport-Breastfeeding_FINAL.PDF

Assigning issue to Release 2.03

[MN-38]

[MN-38] MN Report - Summary Statistics - Supplemental Final **Created: 29/Sep/09 Updated: 14/Apr/10**	
Status:	Development
Project:	MINNESOTA - HUBERT
Component/s:	Minnesota

Type:	Change Request - External	**Priority:**	Critical
Reporter:	Angie Stevens	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Pending	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Report Generator		
Primary Function:	Reports		

Description

Create HuBERT report to meet attached current report criteria from CHIP for Summary Statistics - Supplemental Final

Comments

Comment by Eric Wurth [14/Apr/10 10:32 AM]

Passed........
Env: (WMOC02Q)
Rel: 2.3.10103.2131

SummaryStatisticsReport - Supplemental report has passed all possible scenarios for each area of the report
See attachment file for final example: SummaryStatisticsReport-Supplemental_FINAL.PDF

Assigning issue to Release 2.03

[MN-39]

[MN-39] MN Report - Voucher Redemption by Class Created: 29/Sep/09 Updated: 07/Apr/10	
Status:	Development
Project:	MINNESOTA - HUBERT
Component/s:	Minnesota

Type:	Change Request - External	**Priority:**	Critical
Reporter:	Angie Stevens	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Pending	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Report Generator		
Primary Function:	Reports		

Description

Create HuBERT report to meet attached current report criteria from CHIP for Voucher Redemption by Class

Comments

Comment by Eric Wurth [07/Apr/10 12:10 PM]

Passed........
Env: (WMOC02Q)
Rel: 2.3.10096.1218

Check Redemption by Class report has passed all possible scenarios for each area of the report
See attachment file for final example: CheckRdmpAnalysisByClass_FINAL.PDF

Assigning issue to Release 2.03

[MN-40]

[MN-40] MN Report - Voucher Redemption by Vendor Created: 29/Sep/09 Updated: 07/Apr/10	
Status:	Development
Project:	MINNESOTA - HUBERT
Component/s:	Minnesota

Type:	Change Request - External	**Priority:**	Critical
Reporter:	Angie Stevens	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Pending	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Report Generator		
Primary Function:	Reports		

Description

Create HuBERT report to meet attached current CHIP criteria for Voucher Redemption by Vendor.

Comments

Comment by Eric Wurth [07/Apr/10 12:08 PM]

Passed........
Env: (WMOC02Q)
Rel: 2.3.10096.1218

Check Redemption by Vendor report has passed all possible scenarios for each area of the report
See attachment file for final example: CheckRdmpAnalysisByVendor_FINAL.PDF

Assigning issue to Release 2.03

[MN-127]

[MN-127] Social Security Number (MN-2) being displayed in Demographics when HIDESSN business rule = Y Created: 24/Feb/10 Updated: 16/Mar/10			
Status:	Open		
Project:	MINNESOTA - HUBERT		
Component/s:	Minnesota		
Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Critical
Reporter:	Jason Bunselmeyer	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Primary Function:	Demographics		
Steps to Recreate:	1. Open PF or CGS when using database with HIDESSN business rule set to Y.		
Original Recreation Date:	2/23/2010		

Description

Social Security Number is now being displayed in Demographics even though the HIDESSN business rule is set to Y. Per MN-2 the Social Security Number field should be suppressed in both Participant List seach panel and the Demographics panel in PF and CGS if the HIDESSN business rule is set to Y.

Comments

Comment by Eric Wurth [16/Mar/10 05:05 PM]

Version: 2.3.10074.2123
Database: WMNC11U / WSPCOCN02
Issue has been tested and is working accordingly. Business Rule is controlling whether or not the SSN text box should be displayed on the correct pages within the following applications: Clinic, State Office, CAS

See attachment: MN-137_PASSED.doc

Assigning the issue to Release 2.03

[MN-130]

[MN-130] EOD - Bank File Processing Created: 02/Mar/10 Updated: 07/Apr/10			
Status:	Open		
Project:	MINNESOTA - HUBERT		
Component/s:	Minnesota		
Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Medium
Reporter:	Angie Stevens	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Vendor		
Primary Function:	Banking		
Steps to Recreate:	n/a		

Description

EOD day coding needs to be changed to allow for processing of MN bank files to CSC format/banking.

Hard coding currently in place that is controlled by the state abbreviation for Missouri.

Comments

Comment by Eric Wurth [07/Apr/10 11:26 AM]

Passed........
Env: (WMNC11U)
Rel: 2.3.10096.1218

Ran EOD against MN and exported the banking files correctly with the MN state code inside header record and in file name. Export file process was done for:
EOD_BANKINGPRICEFILEEXPORT
EOD_BANKINGISSUANCEFILEEXPORT
EOD_BANKINGSTAMPFILEEXPORT
EOD_BANKINGSTOPPAYFILEEXPORT
EOD_BANKINGVENDORFILEEXPORT

Assigning issue to Release 2.03

[SUG-85]

[SUG-85] Future Food Prescriptions - Incorrect Amounts for 6 month and older Infants **Created: 18/Nov/09** **Updated: 01/Apr/10**	
Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Chickasaw

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Critical
Reporter:	Melissa Seaver	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Steps to Recreate:	N/A		

Description

Reported by Missouri.
When entering an infant in guided script, it creates the food prescriptions for current date and future with one can of formula. this is correct for missouri. However, when you modify the current food prescription to have up to the max (example 9 cans), it also modifies all future food prescriptions to have 9 cans. Infants at 6 months and older do not get 9 cans. They get less than this.

I belive it should modify the future food prescriptions to the max for that formula and age category and BF amount.

Comments

Comment by Michael Thurmond [01/Apr/10 01:31 PM]

Tested in WMOC02Q and WSPCOCN02 with build 2.3.10090.2129

Passed all tests.

Assigning to Release 2.03

[SUG-111]

[SUG-111] [Bloodwork was not required to certify a child](#) Created: 18/Nov/09 Updated: 29/Mar/10	
Status:	Reopened
Project:	[SUG - Defects](#)
Component/s:	[Choctaw](#)

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Medium
Reporter:	[Lindsay Palmer](#)	**Assignee:**	[Release 2.03](#)
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Primary Function:	Certification		
Steps to Recreate:	N/A		
Original Recreation Date:	Created: 14/Oct/09 09:00 AM		

Description

Participant 505061 in Choctaw was certified and had not been certified since 2005. The user was able to complete the certification without adding bloodwork.

Comments

Comment by [Barry Creamer](#) [29/Mar/10 02:43 PM]

Tested against build 2.03.10082.2124
Passed
Assigning to Release 2.03

[SUG-137]

[SUG-137] The linked mother's health information is not automatically updated when a change is made to the BF amt of the infant **Created: 18/Nov/09 Updated: 26/Mar/10**

Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Chickasaw

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Major
Reporter:	Melody Schrank	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Steps to Recreate:	N/A		

Description

See attachment for details and screen shots.

Comments

Comment by Barry Creamer [26/Mar/10 12:16 PM]

While testing this an issue was discovered. When the amount of breastfeeding is changed in an infants record the value of AMOUNTBREASTFED in the FOODINSTRUMENTSET record is not set correctly. This issue has been noted as a bug in ENH-72.

[SUG-149]

[SUG-149] [6 mo future food rx not automatically created in food rx of CGS](#) Created: 18/Nov/09 Updated: 01/Apr/10	
Status:	Reopened
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Chickasaw

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Medium
Reporter:	Melody Schrank	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Steps to Recreate:	N/A		
Original Recreation Date:	17/Jul/09 11:14 AM		

Description

WSPCOCNFOOD 1.39.9194.1620
Created and certified a new FBF infant. In the Food Rx tab of CGS, the system automatically created the 1 year old food prescription without the user having to do anything other, but did not automatically create the 6 month food prescription.

Comments

Comment by Barry Creamer [01/Apr/10 02:15 PM]

Tested against build 2.03.10090.2129

[SUG-176]

[SUG-176] [Homeless Checkbox - Residency Proof Gray out with Pending](#) Created: 18/Nov/09 Updated: 19/Mar/10	
Status:	Open
Project:	[SUG - Defects](#)
Component/s:	[Missouri](#)

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Major
Reporter:	[Melissa Seaver](#)	**Assignee:**	[Release 2.03](#)
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified			
Time Spent:	Not Specified			
Original Estimate:	Not Specified			

File Attachments:	MOWIC-294 New SUG-176.docx SUG-176 Recreate.doc sug-176_Failed_031510.doc
Application Module:	Clinic
Primary Function:	Demographics
Steps to Recreate:	n/a
Original Recreation Date:	09/17/2009 07:22 AM

Description

Participants SWID 196968
Homeless box was checked in CGS. Residency proof grayed out but still showed "pending proof". Finished certification and closed folder. Next time the folder was opened, the Residency proof pop up window came up. Residency proof was grayed out. Unchecked homeless, clicked on the residency proof drop down (left it as pending), then checked the homeless checkbox again. The proof of residency drop down grayed out and the pending proof was gone.

The DFDD states that:
If the Homeless check box is checked an entry is not required for Proof of Residency.

However, if they do not click on the drop down and the drop down shows pending, it stays in the drop down and grays out. Then the proof of residency pop up window comes up.

The work around is to always click on the residency proof drop down before clicking on the homeless checkbox.

Comments

Comment by [Eric Wurth](#) [19/Mar/10 10:33 AM]

Env: MO DB (WMOC02Q), CN DB (WSPCOCN02)
Rel: 2.3.10077.2116

Issue has been tested and is working accordingly. CPA Alert - "Proof of Residency Required" is not displayed when participant is marked as Homeless. CPA Alert - "Proof of Residency Required" is still displayed correctly when participant has a Pending Proof for Residency, and will be the only time CPA Alert is displayed with message of: "Proof of Residency Required"

Assigning the issue to Release 2.03

[SUG-283] View Appointment for Date Grid Times are not in order - Altiris Parent Ticket 181073 Created: 19/Nov/09 Updated: 22/Mar/10

Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Chickasaw

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Major
Reporter:	Vyna Willis	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Primary Function:	Appointment Scheduler		
Steps to Recreate:	N/A		

Description

First user received updates for 1.38.9127.2138 and received BusinessRule Clinic_enableRegisterToVoteInfantsChildren does not exist error. HD, per CSC directions, went to the c:\program Files\covansys Inc. - BPDS\WIC\Data folder and deleted all xml files. Then user views appointment by date and the screen shows the appointments out of order (3:15 pm, then 3:45 pm, etc.). But when she prints schedule it prints in the order that it is suppose to be in.

I had user click on the Time Column; this still doesn't put it in the correct order of the time. This was reported by Choctaw. I, also, looked at the Chickasaw and the time is not in the correct order. See CalendarGrid.doc

Comments

Comment by Eric Wurth [22/Mar/10 02:53 PM]

Passed........
Env: (WSPCOCN02), (WMOC02Q)
Rel: 2.3.10077.1019

All issues have been resolved. The Date column is sorted in ASC order per the appointment time when View Appointments for Date is launched. Column can be resorted when clicking on Date column header, along with all other columns. Maximum calendar date is working correctly. Right calendar button is working correctly. Calendar is refreshed and matches what is selected.

See attachment: SUG-283_Passed.doc

Assigning issue to Release 2.03

[SUG-205]

[SUG-205] Recieved a dialog box unable Created: 19/Nov/09 Updated: 05/Apr/10	
Status:	In Progress
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Chickasaw

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Critical
Reporter:	Cathy Harrelson	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Steps to Recreate:	N/A		

Description

Household ID 2443....certified ID 9668 was allowed to issue checks with new food items but received dialog box for other family members.....5531 & 17146 that benefits could not be issued due to invalid food items....but yet checks did come up to print . However I had selected trimontly and checks only printed for 2 months.

Comments

Comment by Michael Thurmond [05/Apr/10 02:48 PM]

Tested in WSPCOCN02 with build 2.3.10095.1236

Passed all tests.

Assiging to Release 2.03

[SUG-241]

[SUG-241] <u>Changed amount of breastfeeding & date supplemental feeding began was deleted</u> **Created: 19/Nov/09 Updated: 19/Mar/10**

Status:	Open
Project:	<u>SUG - Defects</u>
Component/s:	<u>Chickasaw</u>

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Medium
Reporter:	<u>Melody Schrank</u>	**Assignee:**	<u>Release 2.03</u>
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Steps to Recreate:	1. Log into Clinic 2. Open an infant's Participation folder (768419) 3. Click on the Health Information tab 4. Note the current BF values: Amount of Breastfeeding is set to Partially BF <= Max; Date Supplemental Feeding Began is set to 12/19/2008 5. Change value of the Amount of Breastfeeding dropdown to Partially BF >= Max Result: The previous value set in the Date Supplemental Feeding Began field gets cleared.		
Original Recreation Date:	05/May/09 10:30 AM		

Description

Opened existing participant record in version 1.37.9108.1129- went to health information tab (see screen shot #1). Went to Amount of Breastfeeding dropdown and changed the amount to PBF more than max. The date supplemental feeding began was deleted when I made this change (see screen shot #2).

 Description Opened existing participant record in version 1.37.9108.1129- went to health information tab (see screen shot #1). Went to Amount of Breastfeeding dropdown and changed the amount to PBF more than max. The date supplemental feeding began was deleted when I made this change (see screen shot #2). Show »

Comments

Comment by <u>Eric Wurth</u> [19/Mar/10 05:21 PM]

Passed........
Env: (WSPCOCN02), (WMNC11U)
Rel: 2.3.10077.1019

Issue has been resolved. The Date Supplemental Feeding Began value no longer gets cleared out if the Amount of Breastfeeding value is changed. It will be cleared(reset) if "Fully Breastfeeding" is selected for Amount of Breastfeeding, which is per design. Works correctly for existing participants in a valid certification period and thru CGS.

Assigning issue to Release 2.03

[SUG-247]

[SUG-247] [Wrong risk factors are showing on infant's risk factor tab. Altiris Parent Ticket 187165](#) **Created:** 19/Nov/09 **Updated:** 05/Apr/10

Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Chickasaw

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Major
Reporter:	Nancy Wade	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Primary Function:	Risk Factor		
Steps to Recreate:	N/A		

Description

OKC Clinic 6/23/09
Id #18966 User had accidentally entered wrong data on health info tab, two risks #114 and #103 auto assigned. User made correction to data while on CGS but risks are still there. I told her to look after EOD had ran to see if it changed on the risk factors tab. Went into folder today and risks are still there. Upon further research, risk #114 should be there but risk #103 should not.

Comments

Comment by Malliga Marutha [05/Apr/10 03:56 PM]

Tested in Build Version - 2.3.10094.2115
DB - WSPCOCN02

Tested all the Scenarios assigning RF - 103, RF - 113 and RF - 114.

All the Riskfactors are getting assigned correctly.Assigning to Release 2.03.

Please see the attachment SUG_247_PASS for more details.

[SUG-249]

[SUG-249] Corrupted error message displays when attempting to enter more the maximum amount of cheese **Created: 19/Nov/09 Updated: 14/Apr/10**	
Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Chickasaw

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Trivial
Reporter:	Kevin Moore	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		

File Attachments:	screenshot-1031.jpg screenshot-1032.jpg SUG-249_Rel2.03.docx
Application Module:	Clinic
Steps to Recreate:	N/A

Description

This was reproducible in WSPCOCN 1.39 with the 1.39.9169.1008 build and in WSPCOCNFOOD 1.39.1 with the 1.3909168.1527 build.

1. Open a NBF participant folder for someone in a current certification (768395 in WSPCOCN)
2. Click on the Food Prescription tab
3. Click on the Add... button
4. From the Add Food Prescription screen , click on the Add Food Item... button
5. From the Add Food Item screen, select Cheese from the Food Category dropdown
6. From the Food Entry List Items tree, select Pound(s) WIC Approved Cheese, then click the OK button
7. After the Cheese item displays in the Add Food Prescription screen, click on the Edit Food Item... button
8. From the Edit Food Item screen, press and hold a number from the number to add multiple digits to the Quantity of Item field
9. With the number key still depressed, hit the <Enter> key

Result: The error message that displays reads "A1 e1try is required for the {Co1trol Label}."

Issues; see attached screenshot-1:

1. The number "1" seems to be substituting for the letter "n". In one other situation, zero (0) was used.

2. The phrase "{Co1trol Label}" is used instead of the name of the control, which is "Quantity of Item".

Comments

Comment by Barry Creamer [14/Apr/10 04:56 PM]

Tested on 4-1-2010 against Release 2.03. Passed test. See attached document.

[SUG-252]

[SUG-252] [Issued benefits to PBF > Max mom when infant > 6 months old](#) Created: 19/Nov/09 Updated: 30/Mar/10	
Status:	Open
Project:	[SUG - Defects](#)
Component/s:	[Chickasaw](#)

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Minor
Reporter:	[Melody Schrank](#)	**Assignee:**	[Release 2.03](#)
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Steps to Recreate:	N/A		

Description

WSPCOCNFOOD 1.39.9194.1620
Created a new household- a PBF > max mom and infant. Infant is currently 5 months and 14 days old. I certified both participants and created the 2-way link. I set both participants to tri-monthly issuance.
The BF mother is certified until the last day of the month in which the infant turns 1- which is 2/28/10- the system correctly calculated this. The mother is only due food benefits until the last day of the month in which the infant turns 6 months- which would be 8/31/09 because the infant is using > max formula. However, I was able to issue September benefits to this mother. I should have only been able to issue July and August, then receive that notice that the mom will no longer receive benefits because the infant is receiving > max formula and is > 6 months old.
I then created another new dyad (PBF > max)- but this time the infant was already > 6months old. The system would not allow me to issue to the mother.

Please see my screen shots in the attachment for more information.

Comments

Comment by [Malliga Marutha](#) [30/Mar/10 04:50 PM]

Confirmed with Donna that the PBF > Max Mom linked to the INFANT will receive Benefits till the month end in which the INFANT turns 6 months.
So, the above reported issues is not a problem.It's working as it is supposed to work.

Test Passed.Assigning to Release 2.03.

[SUG-266]

[SUG-266] FPIII infants > 6 mo old not receiving foods should receive 4-5 mo amt of formula **Created: 19/Nov/09 Updated: 01/Apr/10**

Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Chickasaw

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Major
Reporter:	Melody Schrank	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Steps to Recreate:	N/A		

Description

Created participant 768714 in version 1.37.9108.1129, Chickasaw Food. On 5/3, completed all lings in CGS except Food Rx and Issue checks. Participant is 8 months 4 days old, food package III. Went to Food Rx link. Created Formula Food Rx and completed certification. Today 5/4, I went in and created a food Rx for a special formula. Infant is still food package III- verified this on the infant health screen. Infant is not receiving infant foods, so should receive formula at the 4-5 month amount (32 cans over the 4 month timeframe or 8 cans of Pregestimil per month). Checks were printed for 6 cans each month for 3 months.

Comments

Comment by Jani Reddy [26/Mar/10 10:11 AM]

Food Item Quantity is not getting updated correctly for an infant who is
6 months or older, with Food Package III and no Infant food items.
Fixed the issue and ready for QA.

[SUG-269]

[SUG-269] SPIRIT CO# 243: Food Package Implementation - Change #12 - Modify the Health Information dialogs. Created: 19/Nov/09 Updated: 09/Apr/10	
Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Chickasaw

Type:	Bug - Production	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	Sivajothi Govindasamy	Assignee:	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Steps to Recreate:	N/A		

Description

The Nation would like to implement revisions in the WIC food packages as indicated by the WIC Food Package Interim Rule. The purpose of the rule is to 'align the WIC food packages with the Dietary Guidelines for Americans and current infant feeding practice guidelines of the American Academy of Pediatrics, better promote and support the establishment of successful long-term breastfeeding, provide WIC participants with a wider variety of food, and provide WIC State agencies with greater flexibility in prescribing food packages to accommodate participants with cultural food preferences.' (7 CFR Part 246). This change supports modifying the health information dialogs.

Comments

Comment by Michael Thurmond [09/Apr/10 02:46 PM]

Tested in WSPCOCN02 with build 2.3.10099.1258

Tested in Participant Folder and Certification Guided Script using each breastfeeding status and changing the status to each other breastfeeding status in cases where prescriptions existed in the past and the future. Also included testing for both standard certifications and VOC certifications.

Passed all tests.

Assiging to Release 2.03

[SUG-270]

[SUG-270] SPIRIT CO# 244: Food Package Implementation - Change #15 - Modify the food prescription dialog. Created: 19/Nov/09 Updated: 15/Mar/10	
Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Chickasaw

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Medium
Reporter:	Sivajothi Govindasamy	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Steps to Recreate:	Tested with a two month old: 1. Clicked on the Food Prescription tab 2. Clicked on the Add... button 3. Clicked on the Add Food Item... button 4. From the Food Category drop down, selected Formula 5. Expanded the Milk-Nestle-Carnation tree, selected Nestle Good Start 2 Supreme DHA & ARA 13 Oz Conc. (Cans), then clicked the OK button 6. Clicked the OK button to complete the Food Prescription and dismiss the Add Food Prescription window 7. Repeated these steps to add the same formula for a total of four consecutive months 8. Selected the earliest prescription (4/17/2009) and clicked the Edit button 9. From the Edit Food Prescription window, clicked on the Delete Food Item button to delete the formula 10. Clicked on the Add Food Item... button 11. Selected Formula from the Food Category drop down list 12. Expanded the Milk-Nutricia North America tree, selected Nutricia North America Neocate Powder Can(s), then clicked the OK button 13. Clicked the OK button to complete the Food Prescription and dismiss the Add Food Prescription window Result: The new food item replaced the existing formula for all subsequent months, as shown in the attached screenshot-1. Tested with a four month old: 1. Clicked on the Certification icon 2. Completed all of the required links, then clicked on the Food Prescription link 3. From the Select Formula dialog, selected Similac Go And Grow Soy 24 Oz Powder Can(s), then clicked enter 4. From the Food Prescription window, clicked on the Add... button 5. From the Add Food Prescription window, clicked on the Add Food Item... button 6. From the Food Category drop down, selected Formula 7. Changed the date of the Prescription to one month from now 8. Expanded the Soy-Ross Nutritionals tree, selected Similac Go And Grow Soy 24 Oz Powder Can(s), then clicked the OK button 9. Clicked the OK button to complete the Food Prescription and dismiss the Add Food Prescription window 10. Repeated these steps to add the same formula for a total of four consecutive months 11. Selected the earliest prescription (4/17/2009) and clicked the Edit button 12. From the Edit Food Prescription window, clicked on the Delete Food Item button to delete the formula 13. Clicked on the Add Food Item... button 14. Selected Formula from the Food Category drop down list 15. Expanded the Milk-Nutricia North America tree, selected Nutricia North America Neocate Powder Can(s), then clicked the OK button 16. Clicked the OK button to complete the Food Prescription and dismiss the Add Food Prescription window

	Result: The new food item replaced the existing formula for all subsequent months, as shown in the attached screenshot-2.

Description

The Nation would like to implement revisions in the WIC food packages as indicated by the WIC Food Package Interim Rule. The purpose of the rule is to 'align the WIC food packages with the Dietary Guidelines for Americans and current infant feeding practice guidelines of the American Academy of Pediatrics, better promote and support the establishment of successful long-term breastfeeding, provide WIC participants with a wider variety of food, and provide WIC State agencies with greater flexibility in prescribing food packages to accommodate participants with cultural food preferences.' (7 CFR Part 246). This change supports modifying the food prescription dialog.

Comments

Comment by Earl Eddings [15/Mar/10 02:07 PM]

I have gone through the change order document and the DFDD and I believe the DFDD has been updated at this time, with the exception of some other issues that require development to change labels on existing screens. Those issues are to be documented in another bug.

[SUG-283]

[SUG-283] View Appointment for Date Grid Times are not in order - Altiris Parent Ticket 181073 **Created:** **19/Nov/09 Updated: 22/Mar/10**

Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Chickasaw

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Major
Reporter:	Vyna Willis	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0

Application Module:	Clinic
Primary Function:	Appointment Scheduler
Steps to Recreate:	N/A

Description

First user received updates for 1.38.9127.2138 and received BusinessRule Clinic_enableRegisterToVoteInfantsChildren does not exist error. HD, per CSC directions, went to the c:\program Files\covansys Inc. - BPDS\WIC\Data folder and deleted all xml files. Then user views appointment by date and the screen shows the appointments out of order (3:15 pm, then 3:45 pm, etc.). But when she prints schedule it prints in the order that it is suppose to be in.

I had user click on the Time Column; this still doesn't put it in the correct order of the time. This was reported by Choctaw. I, also, looked at the Chickasaw and the time is not in the correct order. See CalendarGrid.doc

Comments

Comment by Eric Wurth [22/Mar/10 02:53 PM]

Passed........
Env: (WSPCOCN02), (WMOC02Q)
Rel: 2.3.10077.1019

All issues have been resolved. The Date column is sorted in ASC order per the appointment time when View Appointments for Date is launched. Column can be resorted when clicking on Date column header, along with all other columns. Maximum calendar date is working correctly. Right calendar button is working correctly. Calendar is refreshed and matches what is selected.

See attachment: SUG-283_Passed.doc

Assigning issue to Release 2.03

[SUG-292]

[SUG-292] [Check issuance, participation month is incorrect. Altiris Parent Ticket 175614](#) **Created: 19/Nov/09 Updated: 05/Apr/10**

Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	8 Northern

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Critical
Reporter:	Nancy Wade	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Primary Function:	Benefits Issuance - Checks		
Steps to Recreate:	N/A		
Original Recreation Date:	14/May/09 12:27 PM		

Description

Taos Clinic 5-12-09
HH Id #3714 Participant was recertified on 11/5/08 and received bi-monthly checks. (11/5/08 - 12/4/08 and 12/5/08 - 1/4/09) Brother, Id #3289 did not receive checks at that visit because he already had checks. Both participants came for checks in January. It looks like the system had Id #3289 as the base participant, so when checks issued the issuance dates for Id #3714 changed. Both participants received checks for January participation dated 1/7/09 - 1/31/09. When they came back in Februrary to pick up checks the system issued bi-monthly checks to both participants. The first set of checks is dated 2/11/09 - 2/27/09 and shows as January participation, the second set of checks are dated 2/28/09 -3/27/09 and show participation month as Februrary.
Now all the checks issued there after have the wrong participation month.
The first set of checks issued at the Februrary visit and dated 2/11/09 -2/27/09 should have had a LDTU date of 2/28/09 with the participation month Februrary and the second set of checks dated 2/28/09 - 3/27/09 should be 3/1/09 - 3/31/09 with the participation month March.

Description Taos Clinic 5-12-09 HH Id #3714 Participant was recertified on 11/5/08 and received bi-monthly checks. (11/5/08 - 12/4/08 and 12/5/08 - 1/4/09) Brother, Id #3289 did not receive checks at that visit because he already had checks. Both participants came for checks in January. It looks like the system had Id #3289 as the base participant, so when checks issued the issuance dates for Id #3714 changed. Both participants received checks for January participation dated 1/7/09 - 1/31/09. When they came back in Februrary to pick up checks the system issued bi-monthly checks to both participants. The first set of checks is dated 2/11/09 - 2/27/09 and shows as January participation, the second set of checks are dated 2/28/09 -3/27/09 and show participation month as Februrary. Now all the checks issued there after have the wrong participation month. The first set of checks issued at the Februrary visit and dated 2/11/09 -2/27/09 should have had a LDTU date of 2/28/09 with the participation month Februrary and the second set of checks dated 2/28/09 - 3/27/09 should be 3/1/09 - 3/31/09 with the participation month March.

Comments

Comment by Malliga Marutha [26/Mar/10 02:37 PM]

Created SUG- 654 for the reported issues.

Passing this issue and Assigning to Release 2.03.

[SUG-300]

[SUG-300] [Proration is not working correctly when trying to issue one can of formula](#) **Created: 20/Nov/09 Updated: 09/Apr/10**

Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Chickasaw

Type:	Bug - Production	Priority:	Medium
Reporter:	Lindsay Palmer	Assignee:	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Primary Function:	Benefits Issuance - Checks		
Steps to Recreate:	N/A		

Description

WCD was trying to replace one can of goodstart soy for one can of goodstart protect plus. When she added a food prescription for one can and tried to add and replace she received an object of reference error. We were trying to troubleshoot the issue and found that when the quantity of formula was changed from one can to two it would print for one can when using the add/replace feature. Upon futher investigation when the proration box in reference utility was unmarked it would print with one can as the quatity, and when it was checked again it would not print for one can. This was recreated by all three of us here at the helpdesk.

Comments

Comment by Michael Thurmond [09/Apr/10 07:38 PM]

Tested in WSPCOCN02 with build 2.3.10098.1748

Passed all tests.

Assigning to Release 2.03

[SUG-308]

[SUG-308] [Spirit making participants categorically ineligible before their time - Altiris Parent Ticket 163575](#)
Created: 20/Nov/09 Updated: 09/Apr/10

Status:	Open
Project:	[SUG - Defects](#)
Component/s:	[Chickasaw](#)

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Critical
Reporter:	[Vyna Willis](#)	**Assignee:**	[Release 2.03](#)
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Issue Links:	**Relates**		
	Related to [SUG-311](#) Chickasaw - Object reference error wh... Open		
Application Module:	Clinic		
Primary Function:	Participant Folder		
Steps to Recreate:	N/A		
Original Recreation Date:	20/Mar/09 02:28 PM		

Description

I've been contacted by WCD and Chickasaw of two participants who are about to turn 5 years old. I read the DFDD and did not find any reason why these participants who are about to turn five were terminated deemed categorically ineglibile before the 5 year old birthday. See screenshots - Termed

WCD WIC 3033 with a termination date of 3/31/09 received checks for March, April May. The certification ended on this date as well.

Chickasaw WIC ID 767720 who's 5 year old birthdate is 10/20/2009.

Comments

Comment by [Michael Thurmond](#) [09/Apr/10 07:36 PM]

Tested in WSPCOCN02 with build 2.3.10098.1748

I reviewed the examples of participants being terminated by the system due to categorical ineligibility. From the evidence that could be gathered from the screen clips and the matching data in the test database, the participants could have been and do appear to have been manually terminated. The categorical ineligibility date used by the system to terminate children who have turned 5-years old is set to the same date as the end date of the certification when it falls within the child's fifth-year birth month and the CERTTOMONTHEND business rule is in effect--the last day of the month. And, because the certification ends on the day of categorical ineligibility, there is no need for the system to terminate children due to ineligibility.

The only exception to the rule above was that if the child turned 5 at the first of the month, the system was setting the categorical ineligibility date and the end date of the certification to the last day of the previous month, as Ted O'Connor noted in his comments above. This single exception has been corrected in the most recent build.

I tested the code changes that Ted O'Connor implemented, and the application passed all tests.

Assigning to Release 2.03

[SUG-309]

[SUG-309] Unhandled Exception error when trying to remove incomplete certification - Altiris Parent ticket 163610 Created: 20/Nov/09 Updated: 12/Apr/10	
Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Chickasaw

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Critical
Reporter:	Vyna Willis	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Issue Links:	**Relates**			
	Related	SUG-383	WCD - Perez Family certification - Fa...	Open
	Related to	SUG-397	When user removed incomplete cert not...	Open
Application Module:	Clinic
Primary Function:	Certification
Steps to Recreate:	N/A

Description

ITC had began a certification for WIC ID 1101. When clicked on Participant Activities>Remove incomplete cert, an unhandled exception has occurred in your application....' I clicked on continue, the current certification attempt in the risk factors tab remains. The user had wanted to remove incomplete certification that she began that day because it was the incorrect category.

This issue has occurred before with Choctaw. On the attached screenshots, ITCRemoval.doc, shows the error received and Participant List dialog in the the details portion, it shows termination date but no termination reason. I don't know if this is a factor in the issue.

Our DBA removed incomplete certification

Comments

Comment by Michael Thurmond [05/Apr/10 04:04 PM]
Tested in WSPCOCN02 with build 2.3.10095.1236
Passed all tests.
Assigning to Build 2.03

[SUG-311]

[SUG-311] [Chickasaw - Object reference error when adding a set of checks. Incorrect Cert End Date - Altiris Parent Ticket 162900](#) Created: 20/Nov/09 Updated: 09/Apr/10

Status:	Open
Project:	[SUG - Defects](#)
Component/s:	[Chickasaw](#)

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Critical
Reporter:	[Vyna Willis](#)	**Assignee:**	[Release 2.03](#)
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Issue Links:	**Relates**		
	Related [SUG-308](#) Spirit making participants categorica...		Open
Application Module:	Clinic		
Primary Function:	Participant Folder		
Steps to Recreate:	N/A		
Original Recreation Date:	18/Mar/09 10:38 AM		

Description

User certified participant WIC ID 765844 on 3/17/2009. The participant turns 5 years old on 4/1/2009.

The system put the incorrect certification end date. In the above screen shot it shows that the end date is 3/31/2009; it should've put 4/30/09.
This could be why the user received an object reference error when she wanted to add a set of checks.

Comments

Comment by [Donna Parrott](#) [09/Apr/10 02:34 PM]

I looked at the attachment IncorrectEndCertDate and here's what I think happened...

On 9/18/2009, the participant recertified as a child. The certification effective date was set to 9/18/2009 - correct. The certification end date was determined by adding 6 months for a normal child cert which would have been 3/18/2010. Since the cert to end of month business rule was set to 'Y', the system extended the certification end date to 3/31/2010. This is the normal behavior of a child being certified for a 6 month period. The certification end date was set correctly.

It was just by happenstance that the child's 5th birthday was the next day (4/1/2010). It had nothing to do with the value set in the cert end date. In this case, I believe the participant would have been eligible to receive an additional set of checks. Also, I believe the participant could have recertified as a child one more time for a short period (4/1 - 4/30). This cert would have taken him/her to the end of the month in which the 5th birthday occurred. I did test to make sure the cert end date would have been the same as the birthday/categorical ineligibility date and it is. Yesterday I certified a child whose 5th birthday is 4/12/2010. The certification end date was set correctly to 4/30/2010.

I don't see a current problem with the way the system is setting the certification end date for a child nearing the 5th birthday.

[SUG-327]

[SUG-327] BF Peer Counselor Contact - Name is not displaying **Created: 20/Nov/09 Updated: 18/Mar/10**	
Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Chickasaw

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Medium
Reporter:	Vyna Willis	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Primary Function:	Consistency		
Steps to Recreate:	N/A		

Description

Chickasaw Nation reported that the names are not appearing the Breastfeeding Counselor Contact History Dialog. Attached 'adding a BF Peer Counselor.doc' shows that the name does display when added but when returning to the history, the name does not display. I also tested on other agency databases and this is occurring with these agencies too.

Comments

Comment by Eric Wurth [18/Mar/10 01:45 PM]

Passed........
Env: MO DB (WSPCOCN02)
Rel: 2.3.10077.1019

Issue has been resolved. The Breastfeeding Counselor Contacts screen displays the contact name next to the date after new contact was added. And when exiting and reopening again, contact node still displays correctly as before. Works for both adding and editing contacts.

Assigning issue to Release 2.03

[SUG-358]

[SUG-358] Underweight risk assigned to infant when it should not apply - Altiris Parent Ticket 183811 Created: 23/Nov/09 Updated: 15/Apr/10	
Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Chickasaw

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Major
Reporter:	Melody Schrank	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Primary Function:	Risk Factor		
Steps to Recreate:	N/A		

Description

Moved from Pilot.

Comments

Comment by Malliga Marutha [24/Mar/10 04:27 PM]

This issue is closed.Created SUG - 649 for the issue reported.

Issue Passes. Assigning to Release 2.03

[SUG-402]

Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Chickasaw

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Medium
Reporter:	Melody Schrank	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Primary Function:	Reference Utility - Food Item Wizard		
Steps to Recreate:	N/A		

Description

I was attempting to create a food item in the HD environment, version 1.28.1- 18 oz jar peanut butter alternating with 4 cans beans (Screen shot #1). I then updated and reset my local reference data, went to clinic and included this food item in a food prescription (screen shot #6), then attempted to issue checks. I previewed the checks (screen shots 2-5) and noted that only 1 can of beans appeared on the 1st and 3rd set of checks. From what I read about conversion factor in the DFDD, I would have thought that the amount would have been 4 cans- unless I am doing something wrong. Also, shouldn't the distribution item that is first appear on the first set of checks?

Comments

Comment by Michael Thurmond [09/Apr/10 02:38 PM]

Tested in WSPCOCN02 with build 2.3.10099.1258

Passed all tests.

Assiging to Release 2.03

[SUG-410]

[SUG-410] Growth grids are not correct. - Altiris Parent Ticket 106364 **Created: 25/Nov/09 Updated: 08/Apr/10**	
Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Chickasaw

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Medium
Reporter:	Nancy Wade	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Primary Function:	Growth Grids		
Steps to Recreate:	N/A		
Original Recreation Date:	10/Apr/08 04:24 PM		

Description

Ada Clinic 4-09-08
Id #766465 Ht was entered as 18 7/8 but is recorded on growth grid as 18.9, wt was entered as 6.13 but is recorded on growth grid as 6.8. We looked at the previous ht and wts that had been done and all but one are incorrect.

Comments

Comment by Eric Wurth [08/Apr/10 10:29 AM]

Version: 2.3.10097.2154
Database: WMOC02Q / WSPCOCN02 / WMNC11U

Issues called out in this specific JIRA have been tested and are working accordingly. Data points are getting plotted correctly on the graph with its corresponding threshold indicator (blue circle vs red triangle) and line up correctly with the X and Y axis.

Still some outstanding issues which JIRA's have already been opened for and will be addressed at a future date
SUG-558 (printing)
SUG-506 (missing labels)
SUG-668 (data grid/graph on B-36 tab when part > 36 months)

See attachment: SUG-410 _Passed.doc

Assigning the issue to Release 2.03

[SUG-423]

[SUG-423] cannot edit heights and weights - Altiris Parent ticket 103049 **Created: 25/Nov/09 Updated: 22/Mar/10**

Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	ITC

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Minor
Reporter:	Michale Lasiter	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Primary Function:	Performance		
Steps to Recreate:	N/A		
Original Recreation Date:	16/Jan/08 01:22 PM		

Description

We cannot edit any heights and weights. The button is always grayed out.

SPI-432

Comments

Comment by Eric Wurth [22/Mar/10 02:44 PM]

Passed........
Env: (WSPCOCN02), (WMNC11U)
Rel: 2.3.10078.2256

All issues have been resolved. When adding a new HT/WT entry the system will save the entry when: Clicking off of the HT/WT/Blood tab and then back, Clicking off of the HT/WT/Blood tab and making a change on different tab and selecting No for Save, Clicking off of the HT/WT/Blood tab and making a change on different tab and selecting Yes for Save, Closing out the participant folder. Entry added is only editable on the same day entry was created, regardless of what the Measurement date was set at. Entry that was taken during CGS will only be editable during CGS. Once CGS is complete, entry will never be editable as it is part of the certification, the record cannot be changed because it is part of the information used to deem the participant eligible for the program.

Assigning issue to Release 2.03

[SUG-458]

[SUG-458] SPIRIT CO# 156: High risk Created: 27/Nov/09 Updated: 06/Apr/10	
Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	5 Sandoval, 8 Northern, ACL, Arkansas, Chickasaw, Choctaw, ITC, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Muscogee Creek, Osage, Otoe, San Felipe, Santo Domingo, WCD, Zuni

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Critical
Reporter:	Shelly Rector	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Primary Function:	Risk Factor		
Original Recreation Date:	09/Apr/08 02:03 PM		

Description

Choctaw would like to know how Spirit adds high risk status to a participant? Who decided what makes a code high risk? For example Choctaw considers a participant high risk for a HGB of 9.0 or less, Spirit will make a participant high risk at 11.0. We would like to know where high risk is set and how we can fix the system according to our State Plan.

OLD enh/SPI-1129

Comments

Comment by Malliga Marutha [06/Apr/10 01:30 PM]

Tested in Build Version - 2.3.10094.2115
DB - WSPCOCN02

RF - 103,113,114,151,201,372 and 902 mentioned in this issue are working as expected and flag HighRisk in the Clinic application as per the Reference Utility.

Please see the attachment SUG_458_PASS for more details.Assigning to Release 2.03

[SUG-468]

[SUG-468] [Check does not contain all items in food prescription](#) Created: 16/Dec/09 Updated: 08/Apr/10	
Status:	Open
Project:	[SUG - Defects](#)
Component/s:	[Montana](#)

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Medium
Reporter:	[Mark Walker](#)	**Assignee:**	[Release 2.03](#)
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Primary Function:	Benefits Issuance - Checks		
Steps to Recreate:	Take any pregnant mom, make the food prescription look like the one in the wmw3.docx file (or create any food prescription with more than 7 items on a check). Look at the print preview, then print the checks.		

Description

The system will allow the user to create a food prescription containing many items.
The system will distribute all the items as per the food distribution rules and show the distribution in the Print Preview screen. (wmw3.docx)

However ... the system will only print the first 7 items for each check, resulting in the participant not receiving all the food items in the food prescription. (wmw4.pdf)

The system should distribute items in excess of 7 to other checks.

Comments

Comment by [Malliga Marutha](#) [08/Apr/10 04:24 PM]

Tested in Build Version - 2.3.10098.1326
DB - WMOC02Q

Tested all the scenarios related to the Food Items to be displayed in the Checks.
Working as expected.Assigning to Release 2.03.

Please see the attachment SUG_468_PASS for more details.

[SUG-473]

[SUG-473] Exclusive BF Mother does not want PB - Wants 2 dry beans instead **Created: 22/Dec/09 Updated: 07/Apr/10**

Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Arkansas

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Medium
Reporter:	Judy Powell	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Issue Links:	**Relates**		
	Related to SUG-481 food prescription shows beans, check ...		Closed
Application Module:	Clinic		
Primary Function:	EXBF, Food Rx		
Steps to Recreate:	In Food Prescription Menu either add a default food package for exclusively breast feeding or edit and existing (can void checks and do add/replace to recreate also). Delete Peanut Butter and notice Beans drop from 1 unit to 0.25 units. When editing beans the quantity will allow up to 3 units but it does not transfer over into food prescription. If the line item "dry beans, canned beans, or peanut butter" is selected the quantity is able to be modified (Test Site).		

Description

Exclusively breastfeeding mother would prefer 2 units of beans instead of peanut butter. When peanut butter is deleted from food package the beans drop from 1 unit to 0.25 units. Beans are then unable to be edited up to 2 units. When checks are voided and add/replace is done the problem persists unless the line item "dry beans or canned beans or peanut butter" is selected.

Screen shots are from Test Site.

Comments

Comment by Michael Thurmond [07/Apr/10 10:15 AM]

Tested in WSPCOCN02 and WARC02Q with build 2.3.10096.1218

Passed all tests.

NOTE: A part of the reported issue is that all food items in a food category must be set up the same way. The food item with the description, "(4-16 OZ CANNED BEANS/PEAS) OR (1- LB DRY BEANS/PEAS)," is set up differently than the other food items in the LEGUMES (BEANS/PEANUT BUTTER) food category in the Arkansas test database (WARC02Q). All other food items in the food category are set up with unit of measurement, "each," and container formulation units, "1".

The problem food item is set up with the unit of measure, "can," and the container formulation units "64". To correct this problem, the item should be set up with the unit of measure, "each," and the container formulation units, "1". This is necessary because both, "(4-16 OZ CANNED BEANS/PEAS)," and, "(1- LB DRY BEANS/PEAS)," are each 1 unit.

In addition, the food item's purchase size is set up with a quantity of, "4". To correct this problem, the food item's purchase size should be set up with a quantity of, "1". Again, this is necessary because both, "(4-16 OZ CANNED BEANS/PEAS)," and, "(1- LB DRY BEANS/PEAS)," are each 1 unit.

Once this change is made, the problem food item behaves like the other food items in the LEGUMES (BEANS/PEANUT BUTTER) food category.

Assigning to Release 2.03

[SUG-477]

[SUG-477] Issuing checks for participant and received Timeout error **Created: 28/Dec/09 Updated: 26/Mar/10**	
Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	5 Sandoval

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Major
Reporter:	Vyna Willis	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Primary Function:	Performance		

Description

The system is trying to bring the participant signatures back when clicking on the benefits issuance button. The signature image is not needed when issuing benefits.

Comments

Comment by Malliga Marutha [26/Mar/10 11:16 AM]

Tested in Build Version - 2.3.10082.2124.
DB - WMOC02Q

Able to issue benefits without getting any Timeout errors.
Not able to recreate it. Assigning to Release 2.03

Please see the atttachment SUG_477 for more details.

[SUG-479]

[SUG-479] [Lost/Stolen Check Sets Replaced - UpdateRecord Field on FISet and FI Tables is Null](#) **Created: 30/Dec/09 Updated: 17/Mar/10 Due: 29/Jan/10**	
Status:	Open
Project:	[SUG - Defects](#)
Component/s:	[Missouri](#)

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Major
Reporter:	[Melissa Seaver](#)	**Assignee:**	[Release 2.03](#)
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Steps to Recreate:	1. Find a participant that has been issued checks prior to today and those check numbers have been sent on the EOD to the banking contractor. 2. Key one or two checks from that set that have not been cashed as lost/stolen. 3. Do an add/replace for that set. 4. Go to the foodinstrumentset table and the foodinstrument table and find that foodinstrumentsetid. The UpdateRecord field is null. 5. Run EOD. Those checks from that set will not be on the issuance file sent to the banking contractor. 6. Do the same thing but set the UpdateRecord field in both the foodinstrument and foodinstrumentset tables to N. Run EOD. Those checks will be on the issuance file sent to the banking contractor.		
Original Recreation Date:	12/30/2009		

Description

When checks are keyed as lost/stolen and then a new set is printed to replace that set, the checks print fine. However, the UpdateRecord Field on both the Foodinstrumentset and foodinstrument tables is set to null when it should be set to N.
Since it is set to null instead of N, the EOD does not pick up those checks as issued to send to the banking contractor.
This began in Missouri production on Friday, 12/11/09 when we implemented Release 1.42.06.

According to e-mails from Patrice, the UpdateRecord field should be N and not null.

We've been getting e-mails from our banking contractor almost daily with lists of checks that are being paid without issuance files.

I've written a SQL to identify the check sets keyed as lost/stolen and then identify and fix the check sets that replaced those.
The SQL only corrects the sets of checks printed that day. It does not correct sets of checks where a set was keyed today as lost/stolen and then the agency requires a waiting period to replace them, say 7 days from the date they were keyed as lost/stolen.

I will attach some documentation files as I find them and assure they are correct to assist with the issue.

Comments

Comment by [Malliga Marutha](#) [17/Mar/10 02:49 PM]

Tested in Build Version - 2.3.10074.2123.
DB - Missouri

When reprinting the Checks that are marked as Lost or Stolen ,the UpdateRecord is set to "N" on the Food Instrument Set table as expected.

Issue Passed.Assigning to Release 2.03. Please see the attachment SUG_479_PASS for more details.

[SUG-485]

[SUG-485] System removes CPA assigned risk **Created: 31/Dec/09 Updated: 29/Mar/10**	
Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Montana

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Medium
Reporter:	Mark Walker	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Issue Links:	**Duplicate**			
	Duplicated by	SUG-624	RF 135 Not Auto-Assigning	Open
Application Module:	Clinic				
Primary Function:	Risk Factor				
Steps to Recreate:	See description and attached screen shots.				

Description

Montana defined risk 135 as both System and CPA assigned. The CPA assigned the risk when the system did not automatically assign it. The risk was saved, and the Cert Guided Script was exited (using the Door icon). When the Cert Guided Script was restarted, the system removed the CPA assigned risk.

Comments

Comment by Malliga Marutha [29/Mar/10 08:39 AM]

Tested in Build Version - 2.3.10084.1213
DB - WMOC02Q

Tested all the WIC Categories and Scenarios that assign RF 135.
RF 135 is assigning correctly.Working as expected. Assigning to Release 2.03.

Please see the attachment SUG_485_03282010 for more details.

[SUG-486]

[SUG-486] Age at Measurement in Ht/Wt tab of folder or link of CGS is not correct Created: 31/Dec/09 Updated: 22/Mar/10	
Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Minnesota

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Medium
Reporter:	Donna Parrott	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		

Description

When a woman has height/weight records in the system for multiple certifications, the Age at Measurement in the Ht/Wt grid should be displayed in 'weeks' for measurements during a pregnant certification and in years when the measurements were taken during a NBF or BF certification. Right now, if the current certification is 'P', the age at measurement appears in 'weeks' for every measurement regardless of the date and certification associated. Some entries show over 100 weeks of gestation. See attached document.

Comments

Comment by Eric Wurth [22/Mar/10 09:46 AM]

Version: 2.3.10077.2116
Database: WMNC11U / WSPCOCN02

The one issue that failed (Age at Measurement is not getting calculated correctly on new entry for infant/child) was not related to this original issue, and is same as an existing defect: SUG-353. Since a JIRA was already opened for this while back, will pass the original issue at hand and track the remaining issue in SUG-353.

See attachment: SUG-486 Passed.doc

Assigning the issue to Release 2.03

[SUG-493]

[SUG-493] Cert Start Date is Incorrectly Populated for Goal Setting Contact Added in Participant Folder
Created: 08/Jan/10 Updated: 05/Apr/10

Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	5 Sandoval, 8 Northern, ACL, Arkansas, Chickasaw, Choctaw, ITC, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Muscogee Creek, Osage, Otoe, San Felipe, Santo Domingo, WCD, Zuni

Type:	Bug - Production	Priority:	Medium
Reporter:	Donna Parrott	Assignee:	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Primary Function:	Participant Folder		
Steps to Recreate:	Open a participant folder of a participant in a valid certification. Click the Nutrition Education tab. Click the Add Goal Setting Contact button. Complete the required information. Click the OK button. Look at the contact record written to the database using SQL. Verify the value in the CertStartDate column of the record.		

Description

In the participant folder, added a goal setting contact in the Nutrition Eductaion tab. The CertStartDate should not be populated when adding a contact in the Participant Folder. It should be populated with the date matching the certification in progress when the goal setting contact is added as part of a certification attempt. Having the date there links the contact with the cert as part of the information gathered during the certification process. If it is added later (in the folder), it is only informational and should not be linked to the cert.

As part of a 'regression' of the CGS vs Participant Folder functionality, we should test and correct any other information added from the Common Interface Panels to determine if any other folder functions have the same problem. We should also ensure that when information common to both folder and CGS is entered thru CGS, the cert start date is always populated if the CertStartDate column is part of the record being saved.

Comments

Comment by Michael Thurmond [05/Apr/10 04:29 PM]

Tested in WSPCOCN02 with build 2.3.10095.1236

Passed all tests.

Assigning to Release 2.03

[SUG-511]

[SUG-511] [Entering incorrect pwd for Clinic results in a incorrect error message being displayed](#) **Created: 20/Jan/10 Updated: 28/Mar/10**	
Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Minnesota

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Medium
Reporter:	Eric Wurth	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		
Steps to Recreate:	Launch WIC Client Enter in valid User Name Enter in a INVALID password Error message is displayed as: "Unable to connect to Server. Contact your network administrator........"		

Description

Error message needs to be modifed to be more specefic that a incorrect password was entered to prevent unnecessary Help Desk calls coming in. When incorrect pwd is entered, should not mention to call network administrator

Comments

Comment by Eric Wurth [28/Mar/10 01:36 PM]

Env: MO DB (WMOC02Q), CN DB (WSPCOCN02), MN DB (WMNC11U)
Rel: 2.3.10085.2101

Issue has been tested and is working accordingly. Correct error message is being displayed during following scenarios:
valid username / invalid pwd
invalid username / valid pwd
invalid username / invalid pwd
If too many attempts occur, a dfferent error message is being displayed correctly as well.

Assigning the issue to Release 2.03

[SUG-523]

[SUG-523] [Direct Distribution - Line 1 Incorrect syntax error and adding food](#) **Created: 01/Feb/10 Updated: 12/Apr/10**	
Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Santo Domingo

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Medium
Reporter:	Vyna Willis	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Issue Links:	**Relates**		
	Related to SUG-114 SPIRIT is not pulling across all of S...		Open
Application Module:	Direct Distribution		
Original Recreation Date:	1.42.9282.1006		

Description

1. I had started a web-ex session with Santo Domingo and
received a programming error.
Line 1 Incorrect syntax near 's'. Unclosed quotation mark before the
character string ', GetDate())'.

The user clicks OK and continues working.

2. The following could be a training issue but am not for sure.

The user had added food items in QuickBooks but this information
is not 'pulling' into Reference Utility, UPC List; therefore it is not
appearing in the Direct Distribution Food Package List.

The user added a new food item in QuickBooks; the system is to 'pull' this
information from QuickBooks, is this correct?

I read in the setup Guide that the item is to be added to reference
utility, UPC List then it is suppose to be added to QuickBooks, is this
correct? Or is it either or.

Is there a certain procedure when adding a food to their inventory?

Comments

Comment by Barry Creamer [26/Mar/10 05:06 PM]

The issue has just been emailed to: **shilboldt@csc.com**, **ewurth@csc.com**, **vyna.willis@chickasaw.net**, with
subject: **Work Around for SUG 523** and content:

Vyna,

We have a work around to resolve this error in Release 1.42 (see comment in JIRA). We have made a code change
in Release 2.03 so this error will not happen in the future.

[SUG-556]

[SUG-556] Bobbi-005 Received hard to read error message - could be more user friendly **Created: 23/Oct/09 Updated: 23/Mar/10**

Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Montana

Type:	Question - Montana UAT	Priority:	Trivial
Reporter:	Diane Paioletti	Assignee:	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0

Description

Bobbi-005

Five people tried to simultaneously open the same participant, Weny Smith. One person was able to open Wendy's participant file, and everyone else received a participant error stating, "syste.web.services,protocols.soapexception: Server was unable to process reuquest ---
System.data.sqlclient.sqlexception: Violation of primary key constraint 'PK TRANSFERHISTORY". Cannot insert duplicate hey in object "Transferhistory."
The statement has been terminated
at WIC services.participantservices.xferparticipant......................there's more........"

Comments

Comment by Barry Creamer [23/Mar/10 03:14 PM]

Ready for Release 2.03

[SUG-568]

[SUG-568] CLONE -CR-001 Errors on Low Hemoglobin Flag Tested at Different Altitudes and Children of Different Ages **Created: 19/Feb/10 Updated: 08/Apr/10**	
Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Montana

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Medium
Reporter:	Joy Endres	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Clinic		

Description

CR-001 Errors on Low Hemoglobin Flag Tested at Different Altitudes and Children of Different Ages

Of the 7 situations teested, 3 were flagged correctly, and 4 were not flagged when they should have been.

Please see the results in the attached document.

Comments

Comment by Diane Paioletti [08/Apr/10 11:47 AM]

I did test this in 2.2 and received the same end result - I could re-create it.

So this was tested with Malliga Marutha in 2.03 wmnc11u

This issue was tested several times and could not be re-created in 2.03.
This JIRA is connected to SUG-589, which has been passed.
Please see that SUG for details.

High Risk flag still remains as that had nothing to do with this code.

SUG-648 and 649 were opened to address that issue.

[SUG-585]

[SUG-585] CR-013 Short stature and prematurity risks were not assigned **Created: 10/Nov/09** **Updated: 24/Mar/10**			
Status:	Open		
Project:	SUG - Defects		
Component/s:	Montana		

Type:	Bug - Montana UAT	**Priority:**	Medium
Reporter:	Rhonda Brinkoeter	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Issue Links:	**Duplicate**		
	Duplicated by	SUG-625	Risk Factor 121	Open

Description

CR-013
User entered short stature values for Zach Madsen in Butte, WIC ID 00038035. She also entered information that made him premature. System did not assign risks for either issue.

Comments

Comment by Malliga Marutha [24/Mar/10 09:47 AM]

Opened SUG- 648 and Sug- 649 for the above reported issues.

Passing this issue for Release 2.03

[SUG-586]

[SUG-586] Michelle-002 Incorrect add food item error message Created: 28/Oct/09 Updated: 05/Apr/10	
Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Montana

Type:	Bug - Montana UAT	**Priority:**	Medium
Reporter:	Rhonda Brinkoeter	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0

Description

Selected an infant who was converted into the new system. Changed the food package and breastfeeding status. Issued first standard formula and issued benefits. Then mom returned to the clinic because the doctor changed the prescription for Caleb J Nicholson WIC IS 00047867. Reissued to the new formula. Then when she tried to change the quantity of the formula for the infant who at that point would be six months old, there was no default option. So she added the formula, then the cereal, and then added the fruit and vegetable and received an add food item error message stating, "the present food prescription contains a food item that is not juice or cereal. A formula item cannot be added to the food prescription of the applicant when it contains anything other than juice or cereal. Please select a different item." This message appears to be oriented to the old food package of cereal and juice.

Comments

Comment by Michael Thurmond [05/Apr/10 05:25 PM]

Tested in WSPCOCN02 with build 2.3.10095.1236

Passed all tests.

Assigning to Release 2.03

[SUG-589]

[SUG-589] Anemia Risks Not Assigned Correctly **Created: 21/Nov/09 Updated: 14/Apr/10**	
Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Montana

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Major
Reporter:	Mark Walker	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Environment:	Pilot		

Application Module:	Clinic

Description

When trying to assign an anemia risk, it did not assign at the expected cutoff hemoglobin value. On further investigation, it appears that the smoking category is being incorrectly applied to the anemia cutoff tables. Document CR015B - MW-01 describes the overall issue and shows the database contents. Document CR015B-BW-01 shows the results of cigarette and hemoglobin assignments in the clinic application.

Comments

Comment by Malliga Marutha [14/Apr/10 03:51 PM]

Please use the Excel Sheet Anemia AgeCategoryID.Xls for selecting the agecategoryID which is based on the AnemiaCutoff table and not based on the Riskfactorreference
table in order to assign RF - 201.

[SUG-591]

[SUG-591] C-021 Risk code #359 error Created: 10/Nov/09 Updated: 19/Mar/10	
Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Montana

Type:	Bug - Montana UAT	**Priority:**	Medium
Reporter:	Rhonda Brinkoeter	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0

Description

C-021

System auto-assigned risk factor 359. This should be a CPA-assigned risk factor. Scroll down to second and third pages of attachment for more details.

Comments

Comment by Malliga Marutha [19/Mar/10 02:15 PM]

Tested in Build Version 2.3.10077.1019 and 2.3.1077.2116
DB - WMOC02Q & WMTC02Q

Tested all the Scenarios for all the WIC Status assigning RF 359.

Working as expected. Assigning to Release 2.03.

Please see the attachment SUG_591_PASS for more details.

[SUG-624]

[SUG-624] RF 135 Not Auto-Assigning **Created: 13/Mar/10 Updated: 12/Apr/10**	
Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Missouri

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Major
Reporter:	Melissa Seaver	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Issue Links:	**Duplicate**		
	Duplicates SUG-485	System removes CPA assigned risk	Open
Application Module:	Clinic		
Primary Function:	Risk Factor		
Steps to Recreate:	See attachments		
Original Recreation Date:	07/23/2009		

Description

RF 135 not auto-assigning correctly for 4 year old child. See screenshots and documentation from USDA and information from DFDD. This was also tested on infants, 1 year 7 months, and other ages as well.

 Description RF 135 not auto-assigning correctly for 4 year old child. See screenshots and documentation from USDA and information from DFDD. This was also tested on infants, 1 year 7 months, and other ages as well. Show »

 All Comments Work Log Change History Workflow Diagram Sort Order:
[Permalink | Edit | « Hide] Melissa Seaver added a comment - 07/31/2009 08:06 AM
For your review.
[Show »] Melissa Seaver added a comment - 07/31/2009 08:06 AM For your review.

[Permalink | « Hide] Jennifer Saunders added a comment - 11/23/2009 02:58 PM
Please recreate.

NOTE: In Missouri's database, assignment method for infant is set to Both. So 135 should be available for the CPA to select. For children the assignment method is set to System. So when recreating for all categories, please test with RF assignment method set to CPA, Both and System for children and infants.
[Show »] Jennifer Saunders added a comment - 11/23/2009 02:58 PM Please recreate. NOTE: In Missouri's database, assignment method for infant is set to Both. So 135 should be available for the CPA to select. For children the assignment method is set to System. So when recreating for all categories, please test with RF assignment method set to CPA, Both and System for children and infants.

Comments

Comment by Malliga Marutha [12/Apr/10 04:44 PM]

Tested in Build Version - 2.3.10099.2215
DB - WMOC02Q

Tested all the Scenarios assigning RF - 135.
Working as expected.Assigning Release 2.03.

Please see the attachment SUG_624_PASS for more details

[SUG-626]

[SUG-626] Reference Utility/Reference Dictionary - Inactive not working properly **Created: 13/Mar/10**
 Updated: 12/Apr/10

Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Missouri

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Major
Reporter:	Melissa Seaver	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Reference Utility		
Primary Function:	Reference Utility		
Steps to Recreate:	1. Go to reference utility, reference dictionary, registertovote. 2. Mark an item as inactive. Click ok and close, close reference utility. 3. log off mowins then log back in. 4. do the reset/update. 5. go into a folder for an active participant and click on the voter registration in demographics. 6. all items including inactive are still showing.		
Original Recreation Date:	05/19/2009		

Description

This issue is migrated from MOWIC-235. The issue is that when you inactivate an item in reference utility - reference dictionary, the inactive items are still shown in the application. This was specifically found and tested in the registertovote item but other items were also tested.

When testing Release 1.38 for the voter registration piece, I inactivated the ones in reference utility that we did not want to use. I then logged out of MOWINS UAT and then back into it and also did the reset and update. When I was doing a certification on a new participant (child), and chose the drop down box in the voter registration field, all active and inactive choices were listed.

 Description When testing Release 1.38 for the voter registration piece, I inactivated the ones in reference utility that we did not want to use. I then logged out of MOWINS UAT and then back into it and also did the reset and update. When I was doing a certification on a new participant (child), and chose the drop down box in the voter registration field, all active and inactive choices were listed.

Comments

Comment by Eric Wurth [12/Apr/10 09:47 AM]

Version: 2.3.10099.2215
Database: WMOC02Q / WSPCOCN02

Drop down was fixed to accommodate existing values that are selected and "deactivated" at a later date so that the deactivated value still is displayed, but not displayed in the drop down as it is deactivated now and cannot be selected any more.

Assigning the issue to Release 2.03

[SUG-662]

[SUG-662] (2.02) Montana EOM failed. **Created: 02/Apr/10 Updated: 29/Apr/10**

Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Montana

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Medium
Reporter:	Mark Walker	**Assignee:**	Release 2.02.06
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Scheduled Job Administration		
Primary Function:	EOM		

Description

See error messages in TestingEOMError.docx

Comments

Comment by Eric Wurth [29/Apr/10 11:22 AM]

Version: 2.2.10113.2321

2.02.06 - Database: WMOC02TESTQ / WMTC02TESTQ
EOM ran successfully against both DBs used from latest client UAT testing copy:
> MT - month 1 year 2010 - Successful
> MT - month 2 year 2010 - Successful
> MT - month 3 year 2010 - Successful

> MO - month 3 year 2010 - Successful

2.03 - Database: WMOC02Q / WMTC02Q
EOM ran successfully against both DBs used from latest client production copy:
> MT - month 2 year 2010 - Successful
> MT - month 3 year 2010 - Successful

> MO - month 2 year 2010 - Successful
> MO - month 3 year 2010 - Successful

Assigning the issue to Release 2.02.06

[SUG-682]

[SUG-682] Conversion error when attempting to close out of Height/Weight & Blood in CGS after selecting Unknown Birth Criteria in Health Information. **Created: 15/Apr/10 Updated: 30/Apr/10**	
Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Minnesota

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Medium
Reporter:	Jason Bunselmeyer	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Issue Links:	**Duplicate**		
	Duplicated by MN-198 Errors when clicking on ht and wt Resolved		
Application Module:	Clinic		
Primary Function:	Certification		
Steps to Recreate:	1. Pre-screen a new child participant. 2. Start a certification and complete Health Information, checking the Unknown Birth Criteria checkbox. 3. Complete Height/Weight & Blood information and click close.		

Description

The error is occurring because the WeightPounds, WeightOunces, LengthInches and LengthEighths values in the CHILD record are still NULL. The code is expecting non-NULL values.

Comments

Comment by Eric Wurth [30/Apr/10 02:22 PM]

Version: 2.3.10119.1947
Database: WMOC02Q / WMNC11U

All scenarios have passed successfully. When checking the Birth Unknown checkbox, able to add a new Ht/Wt entry during certification w/o any errors for both infants and children, regardless of order completed during CGS. Same thing applies for existing participants as well. Also, if participant currently has a birth criteria filled out on the Health Info tab, can check the Birth Unknown checkbox to clear the values and still have the ability to add new Ht/Wt without any issues.

See attachment: SUG-682 Passed.doc

Assigning the issue to Release 2.03

[SUG-702]

[SUG-702] SCHEDULE JOB ADMIN error "Client found response content type of 'text/html; charset=utf-8', but expected 'text/xml'." **Created: 22/Apr/10 Updated: 29/Apr/10**

Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	Missouri

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Production Down
Reporter:	Jennifer Saunders	**Assignee:**	Release 2.02.06
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Application Module:	Scheduled Job Administration		
Primary Function:	EOM		
Steps to Recreate:	See Description		
Original Recreation Date:	4/21/2010		

Description

Testing EOM in release 2.02.05.

Log into Schedule Job Admin.
Dialog defaults to WIC End of Month Administration radio button selected.
Click Run.
***Error message displays (See attached document for error details)
Dismiss message and WIC End of Month Admin dialog displays.
Enter WIC Paid Total
Click Apply
***Error message displays (similar message)
Dismiss message and system returns to the WIC End of Month Admin dialog

No error occured when Remove from Schedule radio button selected.

***Error occurs when View Log radio button on WIC End of Month Admin dialog selected, enter date range and Apply button clicked.
***Error occurs from Schedule Job Admin, select WIC End of Day Administration radio button selected and Run clicked.
***Error occurs when Interrogator radio button selected on the next screen when trying to view log.
***Error occurs in File Management when check the Remove from Currently Executing Table box.

I did not test anything that would remove records because I did not want to interfere with my database. All functions in this app need to be checked and tested.

Comments

Comment by Eric Wurth [29/Apr/10 10:52 AM]

Version: 2.2.10113.2321
Database: WMOC02Q / WSPCOCN02

All scenarios passed successfully. Able to get thru all selections within the Scheduled Job Administration dialog windows without any errors. The four "Scheduled Jobs" selections were tested to ensure no errors occur and can still schedule EOM/EOD and retrieve/purge log files.

Assigning the issue to Release 2.02.06

[SUG-704]

[SUG-704] Data Sync - Received error on the income Created: 22/Apr/10 Updated: 26/Apr/10	
Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	8 Northern

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Medium
Reporter:	Eric Wurth	**Assignee:**	Release 2.03
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		

File Attachments:	IncomeError.doc
Application Module:	Data Sync Client
Primary Function:	Income Calculator

Description

This issue is being carried over from SUG-660 into it's own seperate issue in order to be fixed and tested.......

When adding an income item, with out a household size filled out (being zero), an error message was appearing: "Conversion from string "" to type 'Integer' is not valid."

Work around is to add a household size first before adding a new income item.

Issue will be fixed for Rel 2.02.06 and Rel 2.03

Comments

Comment by Malliga Marutha [26/Apr/10 01:58 PM]

Tested in Build Version - 2.3.10113.2113
DB - WSPCOCN02

Able to add income without getting any "Conversion String" error in the DataSync laptop.

Assigning to release 2.03.

[SUG-719]

[SUG-719] Actual Delivery Date issue in VOC Created: 30/Apr/10 Updated: 30/Apr/10	
Status:	Open
Project:	SUG - Defects
Component/s:	5 Sandoval, 8 Northern, ACL, Arkansas, Chickasaw, Choctaw, CSC, ITC, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Muscogee Creek, Osage, Otoe, San Felipe, Santo Domingo, WCD, Zuni

Type:	Bug - Production	**Priority:**	Major
Reporter:	Scott Hilboldt	**Assignee:**	Diane Paioletti
Resolution:	Unresolved	**Votes:**	0
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		

Application Module:	Clinic
Primary Function:	VOC

Description

Vickie and I tested in versions 1.42.06 and 1.42.07 yesterday and Diane has tested in 2.02. The result is that the only thing we can find that is 'broken' in the 2.02 release from prior releases is that the Actual Delivery Date control was available in the participant's folder in Releases 1.42.06 and .07 and is not in 2.02. Vickie did research and thinks that control was grayed as a result of SUG-371 included in 2.02. The only fix we are making for 2.02.05 and 2.03 is to enable the Actual Delivery Date in the Participant Folder regardless of whether the active cert is VOCd or put in through CGS. For the control to be available, the participant should be in a valid cert. If the participant has a certification attempt in progress, the whole folder must remain grayed until the certification in progress is completed or removed. The entire folder including the Actual Delivery Date should be grayed when in CAS or State Office versions of the Clinic application.